











April 8, 2021

URA Board Members:
Chair Sam Williamson
Rep. Ed Gainey
Councilmen Daniel Lavelle
Lindsay Powell
Jodi Hirsch

<u>URA Executive Staff</u>:
Greg Flisram, Director
Diamonte Walker, Deputy Director

Via email: daniel.lavelle@pittsburghpa.gov; lindsay.powell@pittsburghpa.gov; jodi@sequalconsulting.com; egainey@pahouse.net; swilliamson@seiu32bj.org; gflisram@ura.org; dwalker@ura.org; publiccomment@ura.org

RE: Lower Hill Block G1 and Block G4 Second Status of Non-Compliance with CCIP and GHDMP

Dear URA Board and Executive Staff:

On behalf of the Hill District's Development Review Panel (DRP) and the Hill Community Development Corporation (Hill CDC) as the RCO for the Greater Hill District, we offer this update letter of the **second status of non-compliance** for the Lower Hill Block G1 and Block G4 Development proposals with regard to the Greater Hill District Master Plan (GHDMP) and the Community Collaboration and Implementation Plan (CCIP).

DRP Project Status:

In February of this year, we reported the status of noncompliance for Block G1 with respect to both the Greater Hill District Master Plan (GHDMP) and Community Collaboration and Implementation Plan ("CCIP") after receiving failing scores in April of 2020 and failing to make sufficient improvement in the areas where the proposal performed poorly.

Despite the DRP's guidance that the development team was **rushing review**, the proposals had <u>not</u> demonstrated sufficient improvement, and thus weren't ready to present again, the Development Team **insisted** on returning to the DRP Committee to present. **They made a second presentation to the DRP in March 2021**, at which time **Block G1 failed to receive a passing score for a second time and Block G4 failed to receive a passing score for the first time**. When a failure occurs, the Hill CDC works together with the Developer to help them address stated concerns. On a rare occasion,

a Developer may abandon the process all together and push their project through to regulators. In such cases, the Hill CDC will continue to advocate for the Developer to remedy concerns however needed. The most recent scores are as follows:

Block G1 GHDMP - 56% (E) CCIP - 47% (F)

Block G4 GHDMP - **58% (E)** CCIP - **48% (F)**

Specific to the CCIP, out of 66 action items in the 7 focus areas and Greater Reinvestment Fund Funding Streams the current proposal is only meeting 5 out of 66 for Block G1 and 7 out of 66 for Block G4. Below is a breakdown of the proposal's average score on a scale of 1 to 3 in each of the CCIP Focus Areas, as well as the Greater Hill District Master Plan for both Block G1 and Block G4.

DRP CCIP S	Scorecard Summa	ry
	Block G1 Average Score	Block G4 Average Score
CCIP Focus Area	3 - Exceeds community goals 2 - Meets community goals 1 - Does not meet community goals	3 - Exceeds community goals 2 - Meets community goals 1 - Does not meet community goals
Minority/Women Business Enterprise (M/WBE) Inclusion	1.57	1.41
Job Creation, Local Inclusion, and Workforce Development	1.43	1.46
Inclusionary and Homeownership Housing Programs	1.18	1.16
Communications, Reporting, and Tracking	1.17	1.15
Wealth Building Initiatives	1,55	1.67
Cultural and Community Legacy Initiatives	1.43	1.9
Coordinated Community Development Strategies	1.2	1.14
Greater Hill Reinvestment Fund, Funding Streams	1.53	1.33

DRP G	HDMP Scorecard Sur	mmary
	Block G1 Average Score	Block G4 Average Score
Community Goals	Exceeds community goals -Meets community goals Does not meet community goals	3 - Exceeds community goals 2 -Meets community goals 1 - Does not meet community goals
Builds Upon the African American Cultural Legacy	1.5	1.5
Housing Development Without Displacement		ia
Economic Empowerment	1.72	1.53
Make the Hill a Green and Well-Designed Community	1.86	1.76
Mobility Transportation and Parking	1.72	2.05

As you will note, the Block G1 scores have dropped. When the DRP Committee peeled back the layers of promises and reviewed supporting documents and details (or lack thereof) over the last 10 months, it was more **evident** how short the projects are falling on community reinvestment. The recent **census tract boundary** change and new **Opportunity Zone** status have also raised the bar on the returns that must come back to the community now that we have been exposed to **increased pressures** of **gentrification** and **displacement** due to a lack of federal, state or local regulation.

After debriefing with the DRP Committee, the Hill CDC met with the Development Team on March 24th to discuss their term sheet proposal and provide feedback. The Development Team informed us that there is nothing more they can financially do, but asked us to send ideas. The DRP, as well as the Executive Management Committee (EMC) have been providing ideas for the past 10 months that have yet to be implemented, however, the Hill CDC provided additional proposals to them on March 31st taking into consideration feedback from the DAM, the DRP, and the EMC (please see attached). We are waiting on a response from the Development Team to the proposals. They did inform us that they are working on a response and that a member of their team is not available at the time proposed in the cover letter to the additional proposals. We are working to provide additional dates and times.

The development team indicated they wanted to return before the DRP this month, but have <u>not</u> submitted any documentation for the DRP to review. The DRP met yesterday, Wednesday, April 7th. As far as we are informed, there is nothing that has changed with the proposal since the Development Team's last set of failed scores in March 2021. Accordingly, at this point there is nothing to invite them to present on and nothing for the DRP to assess whether to invite them to present (i.e. they can demonstrate sufficient improvement in the project). As such, progress is stalled.

RCO Project Status and Development Activities Meeting:

On **March 15th** the Hill CDC hosted a Development Activities Meeting (DAM) for Block G1 and Block G4 at the objection of the Hill CDC, the RCO, as well as the DRP Committee due to the lack of compliance with our community plan and the community benefits agreement for the Lower Hill site. The Hill CDC had about **200 attendees** on Zoom. The meeting was also live streamed to Facebook and has been viewed over **800** times. The meeting lasted over **3 hours**. At this meeting the Development Team received substantial pushback on the project and the process in which they are going about advancing the project. In fact, there was **not one** positive comment. Please see a sample of comments below.

"This looks like another downtown building with no impact for the residents of the Hill District." -Letha

"Commercial space beyond kiosks is very important." - LaKeisha Wolf

"They need an investment in a people strategy that builds first & second generational wealth."
- Ron Perkins

"If you are looking for comfort and buy-in from the RCO, the DRP, Hill District citizens, organizations and supporters, in terms of your commitments, why would you go to the Pittsburgh Planning Commission BEFORE getting buy-in from all of these partners? Are you in position to get solid WRITTEN COMMITMENTS for implementation of ALL that has been committed over the past several years, and to have the Penguin's commitments solidified? There is a history here of too many broken promises." - Tim Stevens

"This plan should not move forward until 100 percent of the promises made are followed through on! Each of these stakeholders have failed to keep their promises! The rush is part of their strategy of the firm they hired after project was stalled. Catches anybody in opposition off guard...then allows the firms to forge forward." - Ikhana

"You say you signed the CCIP and will sign the Term Sheet. Will they be attached to the Development Plan or are you asking the community to have faith that you will uphold the terms of the agreement? If any Community Agreement is not attached to the Planning Documents and made part of the record, it has no teeth, no ability to enforce at Planning or in Court."

- Wrenna Watson

"Regardless of your proximity or affinity for a certain neighborhood, it does not give you room to implement nor does it give you points for a flawed plan. Continuously relating to the neighborhood as a part of this presentation is disingenuous at best, sensational at worst."

- Samantha Black

"Yes, design is beautiful. Yes, honoring the Hill District ancestors, precious. But mostly sounds the same as all other redevelopment that has happened in the Hill. [Your Plan] involves more emphasis on the glitz and glam and how it looks as opposed to the meat and potatoes of how the current residents and businesses in the Hill will be able to benefit from these plans or afford these spaces." - Geraldine

"It doesn't appear to me from the discussions I have been hearing tonight that beyond the small enclave of folks that are engaged in professional services; it almost appears as if it is a shut gate. Is there really a true intent to engage other professionals that submitted to the request for qualifications?" - Frederick Douglas

"This is about strategy and about putting pen to paper and making a contract. What are you all contractually willing to do to sign monetarily that will bring dollars to the Hill District and the residents of the Hill long term. Long term, not two years, not one year, not transient kiosks. Not beautiful landscapes. Not steps to a neighborhood. Real dollars that will change lives, that will improve communities, because black people have been lied to for decades and for centuries and have been told very flowery words."

- Kaiya Price Dennis

I thank you for your time and consideration of the following status update and information.

The Role of the Hill CDC, DRP, and RCO:

The mission of the Hill CDC is to work in partnership with residents and stakeholders to create, promote, and implement strategies and programs that connect plans, policies, and people to drive compelling community development opportunities in the Greater Hill District. The Hill CDC is responsible for facilitating the implementation of the Greater Hill District Master Plan, specifically addressing any community concerns regarding redevelopment and economic opportunities in the area.

The DRP is the Hill District's unified and comprehensive community review process that gives every Hill District resident a voice in the redevelopment of their neighborhood. It is a partnership with six (6) Hill District Community Based Organizations: Hill Community Development Corporation (Hill CDC), Hill District Education Council (HDEC), Hill District Ministers Alliance (HDMA), Uptown Partners, Hill District Consensus Group (HDCG) and the Center that Cares that streamlines community level review while assuring transparency and sufficient community feedback. This process is facilitated by the Hill CDC Programs and Policy Manager.

The Hill CDC is also the Registered Community Organization (RCO) for the Greater Hill District, the boundaries of which are defined by the GHDMP. This project is included in that geographic boundary. § 178E.07 RESPONSIBILITIES OF COMMUNITY ORGANIZATIONS of the RCO Ordinance, requires the RCO to establish both "orderly and democratic means for forming representative public input" and a "clear method for reporting to the city, actions which accurately reflect the community's position." The Hill District community has already established both of these requirements in our community review process and has integrated it with the RCO regulatory requirements. The Hill CDC, in fact, included the DRP process in our RCO application and have communicated to our community that this is the process we would follow to ensure transparency and sufficient implementation of community vision and goals.

Sincerely

Marimba Milliones
President and CEO

Cc:

Daniel Gilman, Mayor's Office State Representative Jake Wheatley



NOTE: Personal Contact Information Removed

HILL CDC ADDITIONAL PROPOSAL IDEAS FOR BLOCK G1 AND BLOCK G4

To: Lower Hill Development Team

Sent via e-mail:

RE: Block G1 and Block G4 Community Benefits and Reinvestment

Good Afternoon,

At our meeting last week to discuss the second set of failed scores for the alignment of Block G1 and Block G4 against the Greater Hill District Master Plan ("GHDMP") and the Community Collaboration and Implementation Plan ("CCIP"), the Development Team expressed that there is nothing more you can do financially, but to send our ideas. In the spirit of partnership, the Hill CDC has put its experience and creativity to work along with community feedback from the Development Activities Meeting held on March 15th, Development Review Panel ("DRP") Committee Member feedback, and Executive Management Committee ("EMC") Member feedback. Please accept the attached summary term sheet of comments, ideas, and additional proposals as a basis for negotiation.

We are available **Friday**, **April 9th** at **8:00 AM** to discuss. We appreciate your time and attention and await your response.

Sincerely

Marimba Milliones President and CEO

CC:

Congressman Doyle Senator Fontana Representative Wheatley Mayor Peduto Councilman Lavelle Mary Conturo Greg Flisram

Lower Hill Phase I - Community Reinvestment Summary Term Sheet

		Reinvest	ment Projecti	on		Hill CDC (Consolidated with EMC, DRP and	l DAM Comments)
	Public Benefit	Block G1 – FNB Tower	Block G4 - Green Open	Total	Comments on Original Proposal	Additional Pro	posals Government
LERTA (50% Share of Tax Abatement)	The Greater Hill Reinvestment Fund (GHRF) will receive 50% of the LERTA generated over a 10- year abatement period. FNB Bank has committed to monetize the future 10 years of payments for Block G so a payment to the Reinvestment Fund can be made at financing closing.	\$7,500,000 (estimated)	Space		The LERTA Commitment was secured by the Hill CDC's settlement agreement in 2015. The upfront capitalization, while a benefit, will be repaid with tax dollars and should be removed from the total investment as this is misleading. In addition, it appears the LERTA has been reduced by \$500K. It was originally presented as \$16 million (\$8 million to Greater Reinvestment Fund and \$8 million to infrastructure on development site). The term sheet now lists \$7,500,000.		
	Development team to pay closing costs for the LERTA (legal, title, etc.)	\$250,000			The developer chose to capitalize the loans upfront. Previously, the development team wanted the community to cover the loan costs and reduce their LERTA.		
Parking Tax Diversion (PTD)	The Greater Hill Housing Stabilization Fund will receive 25% of parking taxes (i.e. Parking Tax Diversion) generated over a period of 20 years from and after the opening of each structured parking garage. FNB Bank has committed to monetize the future 20 years of payments so a payment can be made at financing closing.	\$3,000,000 (estimated)			The community only receives 25% of the parking taxes, the developer will receive 75% to support their redevelopment costs. Parking Taxes are public dollars and should be more equitably reinvested. Parking Taxes fund the City's pensions. As with the LERTA this is guaranteed to be repaid with tax dollars. In addition, the fund this references will be held and administered by the Urban Redevelopment Authority, where there is currently no community control.	Developer reduces their share of Parking Tax Diversion Revenue from 75% to 50%	City Council passes the ordinance requiring that the Parking Tax Diversion is shared 50/50 as is the LERTA PTD funds are administered by the same Greater Hill District Development Growth Fund Advisory Board held at the URA.
	Participating in the Centre Ave YMCA project with \$2.2 million HTCs, construction loan and \$750k	\$3,000,000 (estimated)		\$3,000,000 (estimated)	This is a previous commitment from a project that was made prior to FNB announcing relocating their headquarters to the Lower Hill site. See https://triblive.com/local/pittsburgh-allegheny/officials-planning-7-4-million-renovation-of-historic-pittsburgh-ymca-building/		

FNB Community Investments	FNB is evaluating projects in the Hill to fund housing and mixed-use initiatives with minority developers in the form of loans, equity and tax credit investments. In the past few months, FNB has issued 8 senior loan term sheets (additional pending) for a total of \$8.8 million in the Greater Hill.	\$8,800,000		\$8,800,000	These are unclosed loans. Please note, FNB's primary business is that of providing loans. This reinvestment begs the question of what is unique about these loans which are largely a part of their standard business operation without special interest rates which wealthier communities often enjoy. FNB has indicated that they are pushing underwriting limits and experience req., and will extend repayment when appropriate and possible. FNB must also comply with the Community Reinvestment Act regulations, which requires that they provide loans to LMI communities, like the Hill, and to minority groups and low-moderate income persons. A motivation for increased commitment is because a recent study indicates that many financial institutions are failing the African American community in the Pittsburgh area. FNB ranked 8th among lenders both to the African American Community and in Minority Neighborhoods in Pittsburgh. See https://cdn.website-editor.net/9058dd8bd18f47dba65799e9a1c1541b/files/uploaded/FederalReserve_FINAL3.pdf . Additionally, we are concerned that the new office tower will be counted as community reinvestment since the Lower Hill's census tract. FNB	Investments from FNB should be 50% loans and 50% grants to assure project viability and support to low-wealth organizations, businesses and persons. Other owners can contribute to the grant funding, as FNB is doing more investment than the other private partners.	
	Proposals pending for over \$5 million in tax credit investments	\$5,000,000 (estimated)		\$5,000,000 (estimated)	These are standard deals for banks. The tax credit is a benefit to the bank in that they can meet their CRA obligations while securing a new deal for their bottom line. Terms are what matter here. FNB could provide a higher percentage commitment to every dollar on tax credit deals and could couple their investments with ideal loan terms and gap grants. Counting these investments as driven by the Lower Hill project when this is a very competitive environment for bank investors in general requires reevaluation of this a specific reinvestment.	Investments from FNB should be 50% loans and 50% grants to assure project viability	
Developer Gap Financing	Development team will provide up to \$400,000 of gap equity required to close first phase of projects that FNB / URA are underwriting in the Greater Hill.	\$400,000		\$400,000	Excellent, but additional funding is necessary. This would only be sufficient for one deal on Centre Ave.	See above. Note that Development Partners beyond FNB need to pitch in.	
Open Space	Development team is building 3.5 acres of open space		\$2,500,000	\$2,500,000	According to the term sheet this open space will be privately owned. While this amount of space exceeds their requirements under the PLDP, developing open space that is privately owned is not community reinvestment.	Since the Development Team has expressed interest in gifting the land, work with the Hill CDC, Pittsburgh Downtown Partnership, and Ujamaa Collective to own and operate the Green Space.	

						Local Government Pass legislation that protects Opportunity Zones from the impacts of Gentrification and Displacement
					Percentage of Total amount raised for Opportunity Zone Fund designated to qualifying investments above Crawford Street	
Opportunity Zones (Category Added by Hill CDC)				ı	Opportunity Zone Investment Fund should have 50% Community Board that makes investment decisions for qualifying investments.	Local Government Pass legislation that requires shared decision making with communities for Opportunity Zone investments. Community is defined by those who live and or work in the qualified Opportunity Zone census tract.
						Work with the Hill CDC to do Inclusionary Zoning Overlay for the Hill District to ensure long term affordable residential and commercial opportunities in an accelerated market.
CCIP						
	Developer has built and will implement					
	the attached MWBE plan					
	Targeting 30% MBE and 15% WBE participation in project					
	Developer has achieved the 30%/15%					
	target on first \$5 million of pre- development contracts that have been executed					
Focus Area 1 / M/WBE Inclusion	Developer hired eHoldings, a qualified 3rd party MWBE consultant, to maximize MWBE plans/contracts/participation for the	\$2,100,000	\$2,100,000			
	Project Vet and hire local and regional MWBE certified contractors and consultants within growing database built and managed by Developer					
					Provide new hire commitments (including first source) anticipated for FNB and other tenants. Hiring commitments should be made for lower, mid and executive level hires.	

Focus Area 2 / Local Inclusion / Workforce Development	Developer/PAR has an agreement with Partners4Work to establish a jobs training program to better connect Hill District residents to Project employment opportunities including Intro to the Trades pre-apprenticeship classes aligned with the construction schedule and job training programs connecting applicants to future building service and hospitality jobs	\$150,000	\$150,000	The CCIP speaks to low, mid, and upper tier jobs. Construction, hospitality, and building service jobs do not meet the requirements of the CCIP.	Tenants should engage in a first source hiring goal of 10%. This goal should be met over a period of five years and retained or exceeded thereafter.	
					Fully fund a Lower Hill District Job Center in the Hill House building to assure access and availability of jobs by Hill District residents	
	Residential is being developed by another developer (Intergen)					
Focus Area 3 / Inclusionary Housing	FNB monetizing the Parking Tax Diversion for the Housing Stabilization Fund – see above					
	Commitments as per CCIP compliance and communication requirements					
Focus Area 4 / Communication and Tracking	Development team will continue using multiple channels for outreach and regular reporting including website updates, regular public meetings, newsletters, social media, etc.					
	Clay Cove Capital, a minority owned business, has made a multi-million- dollar investment in the Project	TBD		We are unable to evaluate whether this level of investment meets the CCIP minimum requirement of 25% MBE ownership due to the actual dollar amount not being disclosed. In addition, Clay Cove Capital is an investment fund with undisclosed investors. We are not sure how the firm's minority ownership satisfies the spirit of this CCIP provision, as it was intended to generate wealth building opportunities locally first and foremost.	Establish a Crowdsourcing option for low-mod income persons to invest in a holding company that will syndicate sufficient capital to invest in the overall project. Minimum investment should be \$100 with a goal of get 10,000 investors for holding company to meet \$1,000,000 investment threshold for Clay Cove Capital.	
	Developer hired Bomani Howze, Hill District resident, as VP of Development for the Project					

	FNB will assist with overall wealth-building goals by offering financial literacy programs throughout the Greater Hill District and expanding its programs with the Hill District Federal Credit Union (HDFCU) as per the FNB Community Impact Plan that is part of the Take Down submission				FNB has been the holder of the Hill District Federal Credit Union accounts since 2017 when another financial institution ended their business relationship with the credit union. Their work with them could be considered a usual practice. See here: https://www.post-gazette.com/business/money/2017/07/26/Hill-District-credit-union-PNC-closes-accounts/stories/201707250025 .		
	FNB has invested \$100,000 in the Hill District Federal Credit Union	\$100,000		\$100,000	FNB has been the holder of the Hill District Federal Credit Union accounts since 2017 when another financial institution ended their business relationship with the credit union. Their work with them could be considered a usual practice. See here: https://www.post-gazette.com/business/money/2017/07/26/Hill-District-credit-union-PNC-closes-accounts/stories/201707250025 . In addition, the terms of investment are unclear.		
Focus Area 5 / Wealth Building	Development team will collaborate with non-profits like the Riverside Center for Innovation (RCI) to offer programs to help growing M/WBE firms build critical capacity for contracting and expansion. FNB has funded Back Office Support through RCI for Hill Businesses	\$50,000		\$50,000			
	Developer secured \$500,000 for small business kiosks in the open space to be operated by minority and women owned small business in concert with entrepreneur incubation initiatives		\$500,000	\$500,000	Based on the language "secured" it is unclear as to the source of this \$500,000 commitment. Based on the language "operated" these small spaces will not be owned by the small businesses, which limits the opportunity here. These kiosks, which are temporary structures, can be removed. What is the sustainability of these? What do operations look like down the road to guarantee that they won't be removed from the site?		
						Three floors of tower should be made available for ownership by the Hill District Community Land Trust, Non Profits and/or MBE owners with a specific focus on Black owned businesses. This can be achieved through a commercial condo model. This space should be focused on attracting, developing and retaining Black professional services firms who would otherwise would take decades to secure sufficient wealth to make such an investment towards ownership.	

				URA to work with Ujamaa Collective to relocate to a location of their choice. Provide funding for technical assistance and subsidize space or grant funding to support their ongoing presence and growth in the Hill District.
			Baby Bonds for every Hill District child under the age of 5 - terms to be negotiated.	
Focus Area 6 / Culture	Developer hired local historian (Dr. Kimberly C. Ellis) to inform landscape architects, design firms and art curators of the African American history and culture of the Lower and Greater Hill District		Develop Curtain Call in this phase of the project with community input on final location. Penguins and development team to close the financial gap as commitment in prior phases.	
and Legacy			Reduce rent in FNB Tower for existing Hill District businesses to \$16 per sq ft for lease versus the current projected rate of \$30 per square foot. Especially first floor retail space.	
	LERTA monetization and Developer Gap Financing – see above	See comments above		
	FNB is evaluating a series of investments in critical development projects in the Middle and Upper Hill, subject to FNB regulatory and lending approvals – see above	See comments above		
	FNB has made \$525,000 in recent philanthropic donations to organizations dedicated to the advancement of the Middle and Upper Hill (\$200,000 addressed above with HDFCU, etc.) - Ammon Community Recreation Center (\$50,000), HDFCU (\$100,000), Hill CDC (\$100,000), Poise Foundation (\$50,000), Neighborhood Allies Centralized Real Estate Accelerator (\$50,000), Neighborhood Allies Real Estate Co-Powerment Series (\$25,000), Rebuilding Together Pittsburgh (\$50,000), Riverside Center for Innovation (\$50,000), Point Park University (\$50,000)	\$225,000 of the \$525,000 went to organizations that are not based in the Hill District and serve the entire region. An additional \$50,000 to Riverside Center for Innovation was already counted above in Focus Area Five (wealth building). This item is misplaced in that category, but is the result of the development team's failure to align their commitments with the specific action items of the CCIP. The investment in the Hill District Federal Credit Union was also already counted above, however it is listed at only \$100,000 not \$200,000. So, the new Hill District related investment is \$100,000 to the Hill CDC to support affordable commercial space on the first floor of the New Granada Apartments. Much like the credit union, the Hill CDC has a longstanding relationship with First National Bank and has been engaged in discussions about our developments prior to FNB announcing the relocation of their headquarters to the Lower Hill.		

Hill CDC is grateful for the offer, but the CCIP Developer is funding (for a period up to requires joint applications for government applications. This is especially promising with the one year from the effective date) grant writing services to pursue possible new focus on infrastructure investments from the \$25,000 Stick with the CCIP standard. current administration. They are also focused on infrastructure grants for improvements along the Centre Avenue Corridor and equity in redevelopment. Focus Area 7 / related infrastructure projects https://www.washingtonpost.com/us-Coordinated policy/2021/03/31/biden-pittsburgh-infrastructure/ Development The G4 parcel will be developed as part Strategies of a destination public open space that benefits the entire community, complementing the overall open space master plan that exceeds PLDP requirements Community Development Strategies. – see above FNB to work in partnership with the Hill CDC to establish a Guaranty Fund to increase minority led organization and business ownership in real estate development. City to relocate or remouse Zone Two police station by including its relocation in the City of Pittsburgh 2022 capital budget. Work with Hill CDC on City and State support full RACP funding to Hill District based non-profits and MBEs over additional investment in Lower Hill District site City to partner with Hill CDC to apply for HUD Section 108 program for structured parking and infrastructure for Centre Avenue, and other commercial development needs. URA to work with Bethel AME, which was displaced from the Lower Hill site, and provide direct relief and investment into their needs and initiatives

Greater Hill Reinvestment Fund Recurring Revenue Streams (Category Added by Hill CDC - in CCIP)	,				Invest 5% annually from ticket sales of owner establishments on or off the site and parking revenue from the site into Middle and Upper Hill Commercia Corridor redevelopment efforts, especially Centre and Herron Avenues.	al
	Total:	\$30,675,000	\$3,000,000	\$33,675,000	TBD	TBD